Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/500,345	OSTLUND ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kuang Y. Lin	1725
All Participants:	Status of Applicatio	n: under first office action
(1) Kuang Y. Lin.	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Kwon</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 31 August 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Note of the conference ☐ Yes	Applicant's representative) o	•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: rejection of claim 1 under 35 USC 102(b)		
Claims discussed: 1 and 2		
Prior art documents discussed: US 3,381,741 to Gardnerand 3,797,555 to English		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief s 	ion. The examiner will provide a parate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
*		
	·	
*		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	oplicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner stated that with respect to claim 1, it would have been obvious to use the concept of Gardner for casting the strip of English or to use the insert of Gardner in the casting apparatus of English to prevent leaking of molten metal. The attorney authorized to incorporate the limitation of claim 2 into claim 1 to place the application in condition for allowance. See exminer's amendment for detail..